

Persistent Physical Symptoms in Clinical Practice: A Descriptive Framework for Psychophysiologic Disorders (PPD)

Author: Scott Muenzler, ICF Certified Coach (ACC)

Behavioral coaching for chronic tinnitus and pain, adjunctive to medical and psychological care

Date: January 2, 2026

Contact for clinicians: Physicians@c3coaching.co



Scope, Purpose, and Context

Persistent physical symptoms that remain distressing or functionally limiting despite appropriate medical evaluation are a familiar feature of clinical practice. Such presentations have been described in the medical literature, particularly within psychosomatic and psychophysiologic traditions, and have informed a range of conceptual models examining relationships between bodily symptoms, psychological processes, and physiological functioning. Despite this longstanding body of work, psychophysiologic concepts have tended to develop primarily within psychiatry and psychosomatic medicine, and may be less consistently incorporated into disease-centered diagnostic reasoning across other clinical contexts. In this context, clinicians across specialties may encounter patients whose symptoms are recognized as real and impactful, yet remain difficult to situate clearly within conventional diagnostic categories, particularly when existing diagnostic frameworks do not fully articulate the patient's reported experience.^{1,2}

This paper is authored by a non-physician and does not offer clinical instruction, diagnostic criteria, or treatment recommendations. Its purpose is to introduce and describe Psychophysiologic Disorders (PPD) as they are defined and supported in the medical literature, and to situate this construct within broader psychophysiologic and functional somatic frameworks that have developed over time. Drawing on peer-reviewed reviews and theoretical models, the paper aims to clarify how PPD has been used to characterize patterns of symptom persistence, distress, and functional limitation that are commonly observed in clinical settings but not always foregrounded in disease-centered explanatory models.

The scope of this work is intentionally descriptive. It does not seek to replace biomedical assessment or to adjudicate competing etiological accounts of symptoms. Rather, it aims to consolidate and make more visible a set of established concepts that may assist clinicians in articulating and contextualizing patient experiences already encountered in practice, while preserving appropriate diagnostic caution and attentiveness to evolving medical findings.

Persistent Symptoms as a Clinical Challenge

Within routine clinical practice, this paper addresses patterns of physical symptoms that may remain distressing and functionally impairing despite appropriate diagnostic evaluation. Patients presenting with persistent physical symptoms are a common feature of medical practice across specialties. These symptoms may involve pain, sensory disturbances, fatigue, gastrointestinal complaints, cardiopulmonary sensations, or other bodily experiences that patients report as distressing or functionally limiting over time. In many cases, symptoms are associated with repeated clinical encounters and with substantial impact on daily functioning, work participation, and quality of life.¹

Clinical evaluation in such presentations often includes appropriate diagnostic testing aimed at identifying structural pathology or disease processes that might account for symptom severity or progression. While this process is essential, it frequently yields findings that are absent, nonspecific, incidental, or insufficient to fully explain the persistence, variability, or intensity of reported symptoms.

For some patients, symptoms fluctuate in intensity, shift in prominence, or involve multiple bodily systems over time. Others report symptom patterns that remain relatively stable over time while continuing to have a substantial impact on daily functioning, even when identifiable pathology appears limited or unchanged. These presentations are often accompanied by heightened distress and ongoing care-seeking, alongside symptoms that may remain difficult to situate within conventional disease-based diagnostic frameworks.¹

Such cases do not represent a failure of medical evaluation, nor do they imply that further assessment is unwarranted. Rather, they reflect a recurring clinical situation in which established diagnostic models may not fully articulate certain aspects of patients' reported symptom experience, even after careful investigation. It is within this context that descriptive approaches aimed at capturing patterns of symptom persistence, distress, and functional impact have developed in the medical literature.

Historical and Conceptual Context

The clinical phenomenon of persistent physical symptoms that are not fully accounted for by identifiable structural pathology has been described in the medical literature for many decades.^{3,4} Such presentations have been examined within psychosomatic and psychophysiologic traditions, which have sought to describe how bodily symptoms may arise, persist, or fluctuate in relation to emotional processes, physiological stress responses, and individuals' subjective experience and interpretation of bodily sensations.^{3,5}

Historically, psychosomatic medicine developed as an effort to integrate biological, psychological, and social dimensions of illness, particularly in cases where symptoms were not readily explained by organ-specific disease models.³ Rather than characterizing symptoms as illusory or purely psychological, these traditions emphasized interactions among emotional, interpretive, and physiological factors in shaping symptom experience.⁴

Over time, limitations of strictly organ-based diagnostic approaches in accounting for certain symptom presentations have been noted in the literature, alongside the development of broader, descriptive frameworks.⁵ These approaches have been used to group patients based on shared patterns of symptom persistence, distress, and functional impairment, rather than on presumed etiology or single-organ pathology.² Within this context, a variety of overlapping constructs emerged, including functional somatic

syndromes and related classifications, as ways of providing clinically useful diagnostic concepts and classification approaches without asserting a unified causal explanation.⁵

Importantly, these frameworks have been described as complementary to biomedical evaluation, rather than as substitutes for it or as implying that symptoms are purely psychological in origin.¹ Instead, they provided a way to describe recurrent clinical patterns observed across specialties, including in patients whose symptoms remained impactful despite repeated medical investigation.⁵ In doing so, they acknowledged both the reality of symptoms and the complexity of mechanisms that may contribute to their persistence.¹

Contemporary models of symptom perception and persistence have been described as extending earlier psychosomatic and learning-based approaches, drawing on concepts related to cognition, learning, and psychophysiological processes. These models describe how attention, expectations, and prior learning shape the experience of bodily sensations over time, with affective significance influencing how such sensations are interpreted and experienced, including in contexts where ongoing tissue damage or disease progression is not evident. Within such frameworks, symptom persistence is described as a dynamic process arising from the interaction of multiple factors influencing perceptual and interpretive processes, as well as associated psychophysiological responses, rather than being reduced to a single explanatory category.⁶

The term Psychophysiologic Disorders (PPD) is used here to refer to a set of concepts discussed in the medical literature under labels such as bodily distress disorder and related functional somatic frameworks. In this literature, these constructs are not presented as novel disease entities, but as efforts to describe and organize common patterns of persistent bodily symptoms that have been described within psychosomatic medicine and functional somatic research. As described, these frameworks aim to integrate previously overlapping diagnostic categories and recurring patterns observed in clinical practice without asserting a single etiological explanation or providing an ultimate explanatory account. In this literature, such constructs are described in terms of what they are intended to capture and how they are positioned as complementing biomedical assessment and ongoing medical evaluation, rather than replacing them.²

Descriptive Definition of Psychophysiologic Disorders (PPD)

Psychophysiologic Disorders (PPD) is a clinical term used to describe physical symptoms that are not adequately explained by identifiable structural disease and are understood within a framework that considers interactions between physiological regulation, perception, and context. The term is used to group a range of symptom presentations that share common clinical patterns, despite substantial variability in specific symptoms, medical histories, and clinical courses.

In discussions of PPD, symptoms are regarded as real physical experiences rather than imagined or intentionally produced, even when routine medical testing does not identify a

clear structural explanation. Patients with PPD often report symptoms that are persistent, distressing, and functionally impairing, and these symptoms may persist over time, including in situations where an initial injury, illness, or physiological stressor is no longer thought to fully account for their ongoing presence.

Clinical Features and Presentation Patterns in PPD

Patients described within psychophysiologic and functional somatic disorder frameworks are reported to experience physical symptoms that may fluctuate in intensity over time and involve multiple bodily systems across the course of illness. Symptoms are frequently exacerbated during periods of heightened stress, emotional strain, or physiological arousal, although patterns of fluctuation can differ substantially between individuals.^{2,5}

Theoretical and review-based models of functional somatic symptom presentation describe increased awareness of bodily sensations and attentional capture by symptoms, with such heightened symptom focus being associated with greater distress and functional limitation.⁶⁻⁸

Clinical presentations associated with PPD are heterogeneous and may reflect different combinations of symptom types, medical histories, and contextual factors. As a result, no single symptom profile or presentation pattern is assumed to characterize all patients, and individual experiences may evolve over time.

The mechanisms by which attentional focus and perceptual processes contribute to these symptom patterns are discussed in the following section.

Mechanisms Described in Psychophysiologic and Pain Models

Physical sensations that initially occur in the context of injury, illness, or physiological stress may become associated with threat or heightened concern, particularly when those sensations are interpreted as signals of potential harm or dysfunction.⁹ In pain research, such associations have been described as emerging through learning processes that link bodily sensations with anticipatory fear and protective responses, even when tissue healing has occurred or when objective indicators of ongoing injury are absent.^{9,10} Over time, these learned associations may be reinforced through avoidance behaviors.¹⁰ Models such as the fear-avoidance framework describe how avoidance can persist because it limits opportunities to disconfirm threat expectations, thereby maintaining distress related to bodily sensations rather than resolving them.^{9,10} In this context, symptom persistence is understood as a function of ongoing protective responses rather than continued peripheral pathology.

Selective attention to bodily sensations has been shown to increase the salience of physical symptoms and contribute to their intrusiveness in conscious experience. Cognitive-affective models of pain emphasize that sensations compete for attentional priority within complex environments, and that symptoms interpreted as threatening are more likely to interrupt attention and dominate conscious experience. This attentional capture does not require changes in the intensity of sensory input, but reflects prioritization based on perceived significance.¹¹

When bodily sensations are repeatedly noticed, evaluated, or interpreted as concerning, they may become more prominent in conscious awareness. Research on somatic amplification describes how increased attention to bodily sensations and interpretive responses can heighten the perceived intensity of bodily experiences.⁷

Within predictive or perceptual inference frameworks, bodily symptoms (particularly pain) are described as perceptual experiences shaped by prior expectations and contextual cues. In these models, perception reflects the integration of sensory input with learned expectations, rather than a direct readout of peripheral signals alone.¹²

When expectations favor danger or harm, ambiguous or low-level physiological signals may be interpreted in ways that align with those expectations. Reviews of symptom perception emphasize that threat-weighted interpretations can bias perception, particularly under conditions of uncertainty. Importantly, these models do not imply that symptoms are intentionally produced or imagined, but rather that perception itself is shaped by learned and contextual factors.⁶

Clinical Interpretation and Framing Implications

Within this interpretive context, a psychophysiologic framing may offer a way of understanding persistent symptoms that does not rely exclusively on the presence or progression of structural disease. Within the context of psychophysiologic and functional somatic disorder frameworks, this perspective has been used to help interpret why symptoms may remain salient and disruptive in some patients despite negative diagnostic findings, and why repeated medical evaluations do not necessarily result in symptom resolution. Importantly, this framing does not redefine symptoms as insignificant or benign; rather, it emphasizes the lived impact of symptoms on patients' functioning and quality of life.^{1,2,5}

A psychophysiologic interpretation of persistent symptoms is frequently misunderstood as implying that symptoms are imagined, exaggerated, or voluntarily produced. However, theoretical and review-based models of functional somatic and bodily distress conditions describe symptoms as subjectively real and distressing, including in cases where diagnostic evaluation does not identify structural pathology sufficient to account for symptom severity.^{1,2} Similarly, the absence of a clear biomedical explanation does not imply that further medical evaluation is unwarranted, nor does it preclude the coexistence of medical conditions alongside psychophysiologic processes. Instead, this framework is

used to describe patterns in how symptoms are experienced and persist over time in ways that are not fully captured by traditional disease-based categories.²

PPD is described in the literature as a diagnostic construct intended to describe common patterns of bodily distress, while not serving as a definitive etiological explanation or explanatory endpoint for symptoms. This framework provides language for recognizing common patterns of symptom persistence, distress, and functional limitation without asserting a single underlying cause or mechanism. As such, it is intended to complement, rather than replace, established medical assessment and clinical judgment. Used cautiously, this framework may help contextualize patient experiences that fall outside conventional diagnostic boundaries while preserving the clinician's responsibility to remain attentive to evolving medical findings and individual patient needs.²

This framework is most relevant in clinical situations where symptoms remain distressing and functionally limiting despite appropriate evaluation, and where existing diagnostic categories do not fully account for the patient's lived experience.

Author Perspective and Closing Note

I write from lived experience, sustained engagement with this literature, and work with individuals affected by chronic pain and tinnitus. I am not positioned to tell physicians how to practice. My aim is simply to contribute a careful synthesis that may support clearer communication and shared understanding around a subset of persistent symptom presentations that are often challenging for both patients and clinicians.

Contact:

Scott Muenzler, C³ Coaching
Physicians@c3coaching.co

Disclaimer

This article is provided for educational purposes only and should not be interpreted as medical advice.

References

1. Kroenke K. Patients presenting with somatic complaints: epidemiology, psychiatric comorbidity and management. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res.* 2003;12(1):34-43. doi:[10.1002/mpr.140](https://doi.org/10.1002/mpr.140)
2. Henningsen P, Zipfel S, Sattel H, Creed F. Management of Functional Somatic Syndromes and Bodily Distress. *Psychother Psychosom.* 2018;87(1):12-31. doi:[10.1159/000484413](https://doi.org/10.1159/000484413)

3. Lipowski ZJ. Psychosomatic medicine: past and present. Part I. Historical background. *Can J Psychiatry*. 1986;31(1):2-7. doi:[10.1177/070674378603100102](https://doi.org/10.1177/070674378603100102)
4. Lipowski ZJ. Psychosomatic medicine: past and present. Part II. Current state. *Can J Psychiatry*. 1986;31(1):8-13. doi:[10.1177/070674378603100103](https://doi.org/10.1177/070674378603100103)
5. Henningsen P, Zipfel S, Herzog W. Management of functional somatic syndromes. *Lancet*. 2007;369(9565):946-955. doi:[10.1016/S0140-6736\(07\)60159-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(07)60159-7)
6. Van den Bergh O, Witthöft M, Petersen S, Brown RJ. Symptoms and the body: Taking the inferential leap. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev*. 2017;74(Pt A):185-203. doi:[10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.01.015](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2017.01.015)
7. Barsky AJ, Goodson JD, Lane RS, Cleary PD. The amplification of somatic symptoms. *Psychosom Med*. 1988;50(5):510-519. doi:[10.1097/00006842-198809000-00007](https://doi.org/10.1097/00006842-198809000-00007)
8. Rief W, Broadbent E. Explaining medically unexplained symptoms-models and mechanisms. *Clin Psychol Rev*. 2007;27(7):821-841. doi:[10.1016/j.cpr.2007.07.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpr.2007.07.005)
9. Vlaeyen JWS, Linton SJ. Fear-avoidance and its consequences in chronic musculoskeletal pain: a state of the art. *Pain*. 2000;85(3):317-332. doi:[10.1016/S0304-3959\(99\)00242-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0304-3959(99)00242-0)
10. Vlaeyen JWS, Crombez G, Linton SJ. The fear-avoidance model of pain. *Pain*. 2016;157(8):1588-1589. doi:[10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000574](https://doi.org/10.1097/j.pain.0000000000000574)
11. Eccleston C, Crombez G. Pain demands attention: a cognitive-affective model of the interruptive function of pain. *Psychol Bull*. 1999;125(3):356-366. doi:[10.1037/0033-2909.125.3.356](https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.125.3.356)
12. Moseley GL, Vlaeyen JWS. Beyond nociception: the imprecision hypothesis of chronic pain. *Pain*. 2015;156(1):35-38. doi:[10.1016/j.pain.0000000000000014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pain.0000000000000014)